Math 564: Advance Analysis 1

Lecture 17

Intinite products of measure spaces. We already discessed products of two measure spaces, hence we can define timite products of measure spaces by induction or just repeat the vastraction/arguments we did but tor a finite product. What about infinite products? It I be an index set (e.g. I := IN but also I := IR). For each ic I, let (Xi, Bi, Ji) be measure spaces. tirstly, let X := TIX: and let SB decode the J-algebra generaled by cylinders, i.e. sets of the form $\left[(\beta_i)_{i \in I_o} \right] := \prod_{i \in I_o} \beta_i * \prod_{j \in I \setminus I_o} X_{j,j}$ Auce Io = [is finite, BiEBi Vielo. We would like to get a measure I on & Bi s.t. $\mathcal{\mathcal{P}}\left(\left[\left(B_{i}\right)_{i\in\mathbb{I}_{o}}\right]\right) = \mathcal{T}\mathcal{\mathcal{P}}_{i}\left(B_{i}\right) \times \mathcal{T}_{i}\left(\mathcal{\mathcal{P}}_{j}\right)$ $\stackrel{\mathcal{\mathcal{P}}_{i\in\mathbb{I}_{o}}}{\stackrel{i\in\mathbb{I}}{\underset{i\in\mathbb{I}_{o}}{$ to cach cylinder [(Bi)ier.]. Firstly we want this intinite packet to be well-defined. Also, we don't what it to be a or o to get an interesting measure. In particular, we want all but timbly may measures It (Xi) to be finite. To easure this well-defined we only consider probability, proces. So assuming or (Xi) = 1 & is I, we want a measure: $\mathcal{F}\left(\left[\left(B_{i}\right)_{i\in\mathbb{I}_{o}}\right]\right) = \mathcal{T}\mathcal{F}_{i}\left(B_{i}\right)_{i\in\mathbb{I}_{o}}$ (*)

let A donote the algebra generated by cylinders, which is just the collection of finite disjoint unions of cylinders. Use (+) do letime a finitely additive measure I on b. Its well-definedness and hence also finite additivity is nown as usual via taking refinements of partitions. So vour d' is a finitely additive measure on \$ 30 its atbly sup additive, i.e. if A = UAn where A, An EA, then Her - $\mathcal{M}(A) \geq \sum_{n \in W} \mathcal{M}(A_n).$ Theorem (kakutani 1943). It is also otly rubadditive, in particular, by Carotheodory, It admits an extension to a measure on Bi-iGI leI We will prove this in the blowing special case: Prop- For I:= IN and each (Xi, Bi, Ji) being a standard prob. space, i.e. Xi is Polish and Bi = B(Ki). Proof. By the Bond Isomorphism theorem, we may assume to is a com-part Polish space (e.g. 2", EO,13, 203V } : n EIN+3). To show let I defined above on the algebra & generated by vylinders is vabadditive, it's enough to let A = LIAG a disjoint union of cylinders, where A itself is a glader A= [(Bi)icN]. Nou me use the tightum of the ti to replace end Bi with a compact BisBi so A':= [(Bi)icN] is we part by Tyrbonoff's theorem and M(A) as M(A'). Similarly, using the regularity of each Mi, we can replace each cylinder An with an open cylinder An ZAn vike M(An) as J(An) is inder An with an open cylinder An ZAn vike M(An) as J(An)

so { An } well is an open over of the compact set A' herce admits a finite subcover { Ão, Â1, ..., Ãx3, Mich choire that $\mathcal{F}(A) \times_{S_{12}} \mathcal{F}(A^{i}) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{k} \mathcal{F}(A^{i}_{i}) \times_{S_{12}} \sum_{i=0}^{k} \mathcal{F}(A^{i}_{i}).$

Differentiation of measures.

(iven two masures I and V an the same measurable space (X, B), me would like to understand how they relate to each other. We already defined the notion of absolute routionity I << V, i.e. for all BEB, V(B)=O => I(B)=O. We also recall the then I is finite than a Borel-landelli argument shows Mt #250 For s.f. if y(B) ≤ o Vue N(B) ≤ 2, shick justifies the term absolute confinity. The opposite retire to absolute watinning is orthogonality. Def. We say that I and I are orthogonal, denoted I I v, if X = X U X v s.t. I(X v) = O and V (X v) = O. If this is the case, then it is easy to check that this decomposidion in unique up sets that are both I and 2 hall, i.e. if X = X & U X is another such decomp. then X & A X i al XVAXy are well with both measures.

Examples, (a) A pointures do at 0 is orthogonal to the lebesgue necsure, with dec-position 12 = 203 12 (12/503). Consequently, any cfb/ positive liner combination of Dirac measures is orthogonal to be Lebesgue measure.

other purposes later, we would like to be able to subtract one neasure from the other, which yields signed measures. Des. A function J: B-> (-00,00] or a J-algebra B on X is called a signed measure if (i) $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D}) = 0$ (ii) $\mathcal{M}(\square B_n) = \sum \mathcal{M}(B_n)$ NG(N) NE(N) (iii) Ju doesn't attain both - and a values, Examples. (a) All measures are sighed measures. (b) If p, p are measures where at least one is (c) let f ∈ L'(X, M), then J_f(B) := Sf dJ is a finite signed measure. Note Wt J_f = J_f + - M_f -, B like in (b). The following shows Wt (b) contains all examples of signed measures. Jordan Devonposition Theoren, Every signed measure is of the form J-v, where J, v are measures one of which is finite.